

**MOSER  
PATTERSON &  
SHERIDAN, LLP**  
— ATTORNEYS AT LAW

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

**SEP 30 2004**

3040 Post Oak Blvd, Suite 1500  
Houston, TX 77056-6582  
TEL 713.623.4844  
FAX 713.623.4846  
WWW.MPSLLP.COM

## **FACSIMILE COVER SHEET**

**DATE:** September 30, 2004  
**FILE NO:** WEAT/0122  
**TO:** Examiner Fleming Saether  
**FAX NO:** 703-872-9306  
**COMPANY:** USPTO  
**FROM:** Ken Ripple  
**PAGE(S) with cover:** 3  
**ORIGINAL TO FOLLOW?** ☐ YES ☒ NO

---

### **REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION**

**U.S. SERIAL NO.:** 09/888,071  
**CONFIRMATION NO.:** 6610  
**CUSTOMER NO.:** 36735  
**FILING DATE:** June 22, 2001  
**TITLE:** HIGH STRENGTH SPRAY METAL TUBULAR COUPLING  
**INVENTOR:** Arturo De La Cruz  
**EXAMINER:** Fleming Saether  
**GROUP ART UNIT:** 3677

#### **CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE**

The document accompanying this facsimile transmission contains information from the law firm of Moser, Patterson & Sheridan, L.L.P. which is confidential or privileged. The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named on this transmission sheet. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this faxed information is prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us by telephone immediately so that we can arrange for the retrieval of the original documents at no cost to you.

306189\_1.DOC

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:  
Arturo De La Cruz

Serial No.: 09/888,071

Confirmation No.: 6610

Filed: June 22, 2001

**For: HIGH STRENGTH SPRAY  
METAL TUBULAR COUPLING**

www.ck12.org

Group Art Unit: 3677

**Examiner: Flemming Saether**

**Customer No.: 36,735**

**MAIL STOP RCE**  
**Commissioner for Patents**  
**P.O. Box 1450**  
**Alexandria, VA 22313-1450**

Dear Sir:

**CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE  
TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 CFR 1.8**

I hereby certify that this correspondence and the documents referred to as attached therein are being facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office to the fax number indicated by the Examiner, namely, fax number (703) 872-9306 to the attention of the named Examiner, on the date below.

30 Sept 04      [Signature]  
Date                  Signature

**REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION**

In response to the Final Office Action dated May 12, 2004, having a shortened statutory period for response set to expire on August 12, 2004, and the Advisory Action dated August 30, 2004, please enter this Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 C.F.R. 1.114 of the above-identified application. Applicant herewith petitions the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks to extend the time for reply to the Final Office Action dated May 12, 2004 for one month from August 30 to September 30, 2004. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge counsel's Deposit Account No. 20-0782/WEAT/0496/WBP \$880.00 for an RCE, a one month extension of time, and for any fees, including excess claim fees required to make this RCE timely and acceptable to the Office.

**Remarks/Arguments** begin on page 2 of this paper.

**REMARKS**

In the Advisory Action dated August 30, 2004, the Examiner indicated that the arguments presented in the response to the Final Office Action dated May 12, 2004, faxed July 12, 2004 ("Response") were not persuasive. In response, the Applicant is requesting continued examination. Please enter the Response, if it has not already been entered, before entering this RCE.

Claims 1-9 and 24-26 remain pending in the Application. Applicants would like to thank Examiner Saether for taking the time to conduct an informal telephonic interview with Applicants' representative on September 21, 2004 even though the Examiner had to operate only from memory due to a computer malfunction at the time. In the interview, the Response was discussed. The Examiner indicated that he would reconsider the Response and would possibly withdraw the 35 USC §101 rejection and give the "fully cold formed" limitation patentable weight upon filing of this RCE. The Examiner further indicated that he would reconsider the 35 USC §102 rejection to claims 1-8 and 24-26 using *Hermanson* (U.S. Pat. No. 5,334,268) due to the "fully cold formed" limitation in claim 1, especially due to the criticism of *Hermanson's* combination of machine cutting and partially cold rolling the threads by *Rallis* (U.S. Pat. No. 6,413,326).

Respectfully submitted,



William B. Patterson  
Registration No. 34,102  
MOSER, PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, L.L.P.  
3040 Post Oak Blvd. Suite 1500  
Houston, TX 77056  
Telephone: (713) 623-4844  
Facsimile: (713) 623-4846  
Attorney for Applicant